

4155

ISA OU5 RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

02/26/93

OEPA/DOE-FN

1

LETTER



State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Southwest District Office

40 South Main Street
Dayton, Ohio 45402-2086
(513) 285-6357
FAX (513) 285-6404

67-02446 4155
Feb 2 10 00 AM '93

George V. Voinovich
Governor

February 26, 1993

Re: ISA O.U. 5
RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

Mr. Jack R. Craig
Project Manager
DOE FEMP
P.O. Box 398705
Cincinnati, Ohio 45239-8705

Dear Mr. Craig:

DOE's responses to Ohio EPA comments on the O.U. 5 ISA Report were acceptable with the exception of the comment listed below:

- (1) Page 13 original comment # 27 - Conclusions based upon data obtained through the groundwater modeling process are invalid. If accurate site specific data nullifies the conclusions of the South Plume EE/CA, then the conclusions should be revisited and revised accordingly. This is not a criticism of the model but on the process and assumptions. At the groundwater the meeting held in Cincinnati on January 7, numerous problems with the groundwater modelling process were discussed and DOE outlined a plan to correct these problems and produce a model calibrated to actual field data.

If you have any questions about this comment please contact Tom Schneider or me.

Sincerely,

Graham E. Mitchell
Project Manager

GEM/yrcc

cc: Jenifer Kwasniewski, DERR
Tom Schneider, DERR
Jim Saric, U.S. EPA
Dennis Carr, FERMC
Lisa August, GeoTrans
Jean Michaels, PRC
Robert Owen, ODH

(yrcc)
partial
action
response
to doe-1126-93
(5680)